Recent Developments in the Ring-Chain Tautomerism of 1,3-Heterocycles

László Lázár^[a] and Ferenc Fülöp*^[a]

Keywords: Heterocycles / Linear free-energy relationships / Schiff bases / Substituent effects / Tautomerism

Some important results from the past seven years on the ring-chain tautomerism of 1,3-heterocycles are reviewed, including substituent effects on the tautomeric equilibria and synthetic applications of this phenomenon. The structures and reactivities of numerous five- and six-membered, saturated, N-unsubstituted 1,3-X,N-heterocycles (X = O, S, NR) can be characterized by the ring-chain tautomeric equilibria of the 1,3-X,N-heterocycles and the corresponding Schiff bases;

this is often exploited advantageously in different areas of organic synthesis, and also in physical, medicinal and peptide chemistry. The selectivity of certain transformations can be explained on the basis of the ring-chain tautomeric equilibration of the intermediates, followed by a shift in the equilibrium.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2003)

1. Introduction

The reversible intramolecular addition of an XH (X = O, S, NR) group to a C=N double bound to form a cyclic structure is a well-known phenomenon in N-unsubstituted 1,3-X,N-heterocycles. This ring-chain tautomeric process influences the reactivity, and therefore the synthetic applicability, of these compounds. According to the Baldwin rules,

the ring-closure of **1A** to **1B** is favoured for six-membered heterocycles (*6-endo-trig*), but disfavoured for five-membered ones (*5-endo-trig*) (Scheme 1). $^{[1-3]}$

Because of the theoretical and practical importance of this process, the ring-chain tautomerism of 1,3-X,N-heterocycles has been thoroughly studied in recent decades. Tautomeric equilibria of type $1A \supseteq 1B$ have been investigated not only in solution, but also in the gas phase by mass spectrometry, and in the solid state by high-resolution NMR spectroscopic methods.

Developments in this field up to 1996 can be followed in earlier reviews,^[1-3] but numerous papers discussing various

al Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Szeged, POB 121, 6701 Szeged, Hungary Fax: (internat.) + 36-62/545705

E-mail: fulop@pharma.szote.u-szeged.hu



László Lázár (right) was born in Gyöngyös, Hungary, in 1963. He received his MSc in Pharmacy in 1986 from Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical University, Szeged, and his CSc degree in 1994 from the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, under the supervision of Professors Gábor Bernáth and Ferenc Fülöp. He is working at present as an associate professor at the Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Szeged. His current research interests include the synthesis and transformations of difunctional compounds.

Ferenc Fülöp (left) was born in Szank, Hungary, in 1952. He received his MSc in Chemistry in 1975 and his PhD in 1979, from József Attila University, Szeged, Hungary, under the supervision of Professor Gábor Bernáth. He spent almost two years as a postdoctoral fellow in Turku, Finland, and three months in Bonn,

Germany, working in the fields of heterocyclic and structural chemistry. In 1990, he received his DSc from the Hungarian Academy of Sciences in Budapest. After different teaching positions, he was appointed full professor at the Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry in Szeged, and since 1998 has been head of the Institute. He has a wide range of research interests in heterocyclic chemistry, including the ring-chain tautomerism of 1,3-oxazines and oxazolidines. His recent activities have focused on the use of amino alcohols and amino acids in enzymatic transformations, asymmetric syntheses and combinatorial chemistry, with a view to the development of pharmacologically active compounds.

MICROREVIEWS: This feature introduces the readers to the author's research through a concise overview of the selected topic. Reference to important work from others in the field is included.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
XH & & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & & & \\
R^1 & & & & & & & & & \\
R^2 & & & & & & & & \\
1A & & & & & & & & \\
X & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & & & \\
N & & & & & \\
N & & & & & \\
N & &$$

Scheme 1

aspects of this topic have been published since then. A recent review of the tautomeric interconversion of heterocycles provides a few special details on 1,3-heterocyclic derivatives. [4] The aim of this review is to discuss some important results on the ring-chain tautomerism of 1,3-heterocycles published during the past seven years.

2. 1,3-O,N-Heterocycles

The 1,3-Q,N-heterocycles are one group of saturated 1,3-X,N-heterocycles, the ring-chain tautomerism of which has been investigated intensively in recent years. Studies on the ring-chain tautomeric equilibria of 2-aryl-substituted oxazolidines and tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines suggested the conclusion that the proportions of the ring-closed forms strongly depend on the electronic character of the substituent on the aromatic ring. For these compounds, both in solution and in the gas phase, a linear correlation has been found between the log K_X values of the equilibria (K_X = [ring]/[chain]) and the Hammett-Brown parameters σ^+ of the substituents X on the 2-aryl group [Equation (1)]. The value of ρ in Equation (1) proved to be dependent on the temperature and on the nature of the solvent.[1-3]

$$\log K_{\rm X} = \rho \sigma^+ + \log K_{\rm X = H} \tag{1}$$

A relative ring stability constant has been introduced in order to characterize the electronic and steric effects of the substituents at positions 4–6 on the stability of the ring forms. The value of c was calculated as the difference between the value of $\log K_{\rm X=H}$ for a 2-aryl-1,3-O,N-heterocycle bearing substituents at positions 4–6 and the intercept value ($\log K_0$) for the parent unsubstituted 2-aryl-1,3-O,N-heterocycle ($c = \log K_{\rm X=H} - \log K_0$). [2,3]

The scope and limitations of Equation (1) have been thoroughly studied from the aspect of the influence of the steric and/or electronic effects of the substituents at positions other than 2 on the parameters in Equation (1), and the applicability of Equation (1) in the case of complex tautom-

eric mixtures containing several types of open and/or cyclic forms

The proportions of the chain and ring forms of the tautomeric equilibria are usually determined at 300 K by integration of the well-separated O-CH-N (ring) and N=CHAr (chain) proton singlets in the ¹H NMR spectra. So that the equilibria are reached, the samples are dissolved in an appropriate deuterated solvent and the solutions are allowed to stand at ambient temperature for some period before the ¹H NMR spectra are run.

On annelation, a methyl group caused opposite effects on the stabilities of the ring forms in the three-component tautomeric equilibria of 2-aryltetrahydro-1,3-oxazines bearing a *cis*-condensed cyclopentane or cyclohexane ring at positions 4,5 (2 and 3) or 5,6 (4 and 5) (Scheme 2). In the equilibria of 2 and 3, the ring-closed tautomers (B and C) were present in higher proportions than in the corresponding oxazines that did not contain this methyl group, but the opposite was found for 4 and 5 (Table 1). It was presumed that this reverse stabilization effect of the bridgehead methyl group arose from relative destabilization of the open tautomers of 2 and 3, and from relative destabilization of the cyclic forms of 4 and 5.^[5]

 $X = pNO_2$, mNO_2 , pBr, pCl, mOMe, H, pMe, pOMe, $pNMe_2$

Scheme 2

A double substituent effect was studied in 3-aryl- and 1,3-diaryl-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-naphth[1,2-e][1,3]oxazines **6**–**12** 2,4-diaryl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*regioisomeric naphth[2,1-e][1,3]oxazines 13-18 derived from Betti base analogue aminonaphthols (Scheme 3). The major ring forms in the tautomeric equilibria of 7-18 contained the 1,3- or 2,4-diaryl substituents in the *trans* position (**B**). As a consequence of the annelated naphthalene ring, increased ring stability relative to the parent unsubstituted compound was observed for 6B and for all 1,3-diarylnaphth[1,2e [1,3] oxazines bearing trans-diaryl substituents (7B-12B) (Table 1). The double substituent effects on the tautomeric ratios for the equilibria involving the trans-cyclic (B) and the open forms (A) could be characterized by a Hanschtype equation [Equation (2)] for both regioisomeric naphthoxazines (7-12 and 13-18). The field effect ($\sigma_{\rm F}$) of substituent Y was found to have a significant effect on the equilibria ($\rho_F^{(Y)} = 0.33$ for 7–12 and 0.27 for 13–18), but the resonance effect (σ_R) of Y proved to be insignificant $(\rho_{\rm R}^{\rm (Y)} \approx 0)$ for both sets of compounds. [6]

Table 1. Substituent effects on the ring-chain equilibria of 2-aryltetrahydro-1,3-oxazines in CDCl₃; linear regression analysis data according to Equation (1)

2A □ 2B+C 9 0.69 1.21 0.994 1.36 3A □ 3B+C 8 0.81 1.39 0.986 1.54 4A □ 4B+C 9 0.76 −0.07 0.988 0.08 5A □ 5B+C 7 0.81 0.52 0.994 0.67 7A □ 7B 7 0.93 0.70 0.995 0.85 7A □ 7B 7 0.93 0.70 0.995 0.85 7A □ 7C 6 1.04 −0.33 0.999 −0.18 8A □ 8B 7 1.00 0.64 0.985 0.79 8A □ 8B 7 1.00 0.64 0.985 −0.18 8A □ 8B 7 0.06 0.62 0.993 0.77 9A □ 9B 7 0.96 0.62 0.993 0.77 9A □ 9B 7 0.96 0.62 0.993 0.77 9A □ 9C 6 0.81 −0.42 0.979 −0.27 10A □ 10B 7 <th>Equilibrium</th> <th>Number of points</th> <th>Slope (p)</th> <th>Intercept (log $K_{X = H}$)</th> <th>Correlation coefficient</th> <th>c</th> <th>Ref.</th>	Equilibrium	Number of points	Slope (p)	Intercept (log $K_{X = H}$)	Correlation coefficient	c	Ref.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2A ≥ 2B+C	9	0.69	1.21	0.994	1.36	[5]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$3A \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\sim} 3B + C$	8	0.81	1.39	0.986	1.54	[5]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0.76	-0.07	0.988	0.08	[5]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	0.76	-0.73	0.971	-0.58	[5]
7A ≥ 7B 7 0.93 0.70 0.995 0.85 7A ≥ 7C 6 1.04 −0.33 0.999 −0.18 8A ≥ 8B 7 1.00 0.64 0.985 0.79 8A ≥ 8C 6 1.01 −0.33 0.985 −0.18 9A ≥ 9B 7 0.96 0.62 0.993 0.77 9A ≥ 9C 6 0.81 −0.42 0.979 −0.27 10A ⊇ 10B 7 0.92 0.53 0.988 0.68 10A ⊇ 10C 6 0.98 −0.34 0.983 −0.19 11A ⊇ 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A ⊇ 11C 6 0.95 −0.42 0.993 −0.27 12A ⊇ 12C 6 0.94 −0.40 0.986 −0.25 13A ⊇ 13C 7 0.93 −0.18 0.977 −0.03 13A ⊇ 13C 7 0.91 −0.41 0.988 −0.26 14A ⊇ 14B		7	0.81	0.52	0.994	0.67	[6a]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	0.93	0.70	0.995	0.85	[6a]
8A ± 8B 7 1.00 0.64 0.985 0.79 8A ± 8C 6 1.01 -0.33 0.985 -0.18 9A ± 9B 7 0.96 0.62 0.993 0.77 9A ± 9C 6 0.81 -0.42 0.979 -0.27 10A ± 10B 7 0.92 0.53 0.988 0.68 10A ± 10C 6 0.98 -0.34 0.983 -0.19 11A ± 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A ± 11C 6 0.95 -0.42 0.993 -0.27 12A ± 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A ± 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A ± 13B 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ± 14B 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ± 14B 7 0.93 -0.12 0.981 -0.05 15A ± 15C <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>1.04</td> <td>-0.33</td> <td>0.999</td> <td>-0.18</td> <td>[6a]</td>		6	1.04	-0.33	0.999	-0.18	[6a]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	1.00	0.64	0.985	0.79	[6a]
9A ± 9B 7 0.96 0.62 0.993 0.77 9A ± 9C 6 0.81 -0.42 0.979 -0.27 10A ± 10B 7 0.92 0.53 0.988 0.68 10A ± 10C 6 0.98 -0.34 0.983 -0.19 11A ± 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A ± 11C 6 0.95 0.49 0.993 -0.27 12A ± 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A ± 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A ± 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A ± 13C 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A ± 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ± 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A ± 14B 7 0.93 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A ± 15C<	8A ≥ 8C	6					[6a]
9A ± 9C 6 0.81 -0.42 0.979 -0.27 10A ± 10B 7 0.92 0.53 0.988 0.68 10A ± 10C 6 0.98 -0.34 0.983 -0.19 11A ± 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A ± 11C 6 0.95 -0.42 0.993 -0.27 12A ± 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A ± 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A ± 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A ± 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ± 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A ± 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A ± 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A ± 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A	9A ≥ 9B	7	0.96	0.62		0.77	[6a]
10A □ 10B 7 0.92 0.53 0.988 0.68 10A □ 10C 6 0.98 -0.34 0.983 -0.19 11A □ 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A □ 11C 6 0.95 -0.42 0.993 -0.27 12A □ 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.667 12A □ 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A □ 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A □ 13B 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A □ 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A □ 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A □ 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A □ 15C 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A □ 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 <td< td=""><td></td><td>6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>[6a]</td></td<>		6					[6a]
10A ≥ 10C 6 0.98 -0.34 0.983 -0.19 11A ≥ 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A ≥ 11C 6 0.95 0.42 0.993 -0.27 12A ≥ 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A ≥ 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A ≥ 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ≥ 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A ≥ 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A ≥ 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A ≥ 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A ≥ 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A ≥ 16C 7 0.79 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A ≥ 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.955 -0.31 <td< td=""><td></td><td>7</td><td>0.92</td><td>0.53</td><td></td><td>0.68</td><td>[6a]</td></td<>		7	0.92	0.53		0.68	[6a]
11A = 11B 7 0.95 0.49 0.989 0.64 11A = 11C 6 0.95 −0.42 0.993 −0.27 12A = 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A = 12C 6 0.94 −0.40 0.986 −0.25 13A = 13B 7 0.93 −0.18 0.977 −0.03 13A = 13C 7 0.91 −0.41 0.988 −0.26 14A = 14B 7 0.93 −0.20 0.981 −0.05 14A = 14C 7 0.91 −0.39 0.991 −0.24 15A = 15B 7 0.92 −0.27 0.981 −0.12 15A = 15C 7 0.90 −0.40 0.994 −0.25 16A = 16B 7 0.83 −0.20 0.941 −0.05 16A = 16C 7 0.79 −0.34 0.954 −0.19 17A = 17B 7 0.97 −0.33 0.983 −0.18 17A = 17C 7 1.03 −0.46 0.965 −0.31 18							[6a]
11A = 11C 6 0.95 -0.42 0.993 -0.27 12A = 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A = 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A = 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A = 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A = 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A = 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A = 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A = 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A = 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A = 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A = 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A = 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 <		7		0.49		0.64	[6a]
12A ± 12B 7 0.95 0.52 0.991 0.67 12A ± 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A ± 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A ± 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ± 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A ± 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A ± 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A ± 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A ± 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A ± 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A ± 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A ± 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A ± 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A ± 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 1		6					[6a]
12A = 12C 6 0.94 -0.40 0.986 -0.25 13A = 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A = 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A = 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A = 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A = 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A = 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A = 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A = 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A = 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A = 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A = 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A = 18B 7 0.96 0.994 -0.21 19A = 21B + C						0.67	[6a]
13A ≥ 13B 7 0.93 -0.18 0.977 -0.03 13A ≥ 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ≥ 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A ≥ 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A ≥ 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A ≥ 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A ≥ 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A ≥ 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A ≥ 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A ≥ 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A ≥ 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A ≥ 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A ≥ 19B + C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A ≥ 21D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 -0.10		6					[6a]
13A ≥ 13C 7 0.91 -0.41 0.988 -0.26 14A ≥ 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A ≥ 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A ≥ 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A ≥ 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A ≥ 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A ≥ 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A ≥ 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A ≥ 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A ≥ 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A ≥ 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A ≥ 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A ≥ 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A ≥ 22E 7 0.75 -0.08 0.996 -0.07							[6b]
14A = 14B 7 0.93 -0.20 0.981 -0.05 14A = 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A = 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A = 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A = 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A = 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A = 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A = 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A = 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A = 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.21 19A = 19B + C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A = 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A = 21D 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A = 22D 7 0.75 -0.08 0.996 0.51							[6b]
14A \subset 14C 7 0.91 -0.39 0.991 -0.24 15A \subset 15B 7 0.92 -0.27 0.981 -0.12 15A \subset 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A \subset 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A \subset 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A \subset 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A \subset 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A \subset 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A \subset 18B 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \subset 19B \leftarrow 2 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \subset 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \subset 21D 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \subset 22E 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \subset 22E 7 0.75 -0.68							[6b]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							[6b]
15A \rightleftharpoons 15C 7 0.90 -0.40 0.994 -0.25 16A \rightleftharpoons 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A \rightleftharpoons 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A \rightleftharpoons 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A \rightleftharpoons 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A \rightleftharpoons 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A \rightleftharpoons 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \rightleftharpoons 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[6b]</td>							[6b]
16A \rightleftharpoons 16B 7 0.83 -0.20 0.941 -0.05 16A \rightleftharpoons 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A \rightleftharpoons 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A \rightleftharpoons 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A \rightleftharpoons 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A \rightleftharpoons 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \rightleftharpoons 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba \rightleftharpoons 27Ca \rightleftharpoons 27Ca \rightleftharpoons 27Ca \rightleftharpoons 27Ca \rightleftharpoons 27Ca 27Ca 27Ca 27Ca 2							[6b]
16A ≥ 16C 7 0.79 -0.34 0.954 -0.19 17A ≥ 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A ≥ 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A ≥ 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A ≥ 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A ≥ 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A ≥ 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A ⇒ 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A ⇒ 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A ⇒ 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A ⇒ 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B ⇒ 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A ⇒ 27Ba $ -$ 28A ⇒ 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[6b]</td>							[6b]
17A \rightleftharpoons 17B 7 0.97 -0.33 0.983 -0.18 17A \rightleftharpoons 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A \rightleftharpoons 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A \rightleftharpoons 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \rightleftharpoons 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba - - - 0.08 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A							[6b]
17A \rightleftharpoons 17C 7 1.03 -0.46 0.965 -0.31 18A \rightleftharpoons 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A \rightleftharpoons 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \rightleftharpoons 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba - - -0.78 - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] - 1.15 - 1.30							[6b]
18A \rightleftharpoons 18B 7 0.92 -0.26 0.991 -0.11 18A \rightleftharpoons 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \rightleftharpoons 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba 0.09 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27Ca 0.78 0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] 1.15 - 1.30							[6b]
18A \rightleftharpoons 18C 7 0.86 -0.36 0.994 -0.21 19A \rightleftharpoons 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba 0.09 - 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27Ca 0.78 0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B[a] 1.15 - 1.30							[6b]
19A ⊋ 19B+C 9 0.67 -0.48 0.991 -0.33 21A ⊋ 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A ⊋ 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A ⊋ 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A ⊋ 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A ⊋ 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B ⊋ 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A ⊋ 27Ba - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B ⊋ 27Ca - - -0.78 - -0.68 28A ⊋ 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B ⊋ 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A ⊋ 29B[a] - 1.15 - 1.30							[6b]
21A \rightleftharpoons 21D 7 0.72 -1.32 0.983 -1.17 21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba \rightarrow - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27Ca \rightarrow - - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29Ba \rightleftharpoons - - 1.15 - 1.30							[7]
21A \rightleftharpoons 21E 7 0.74 -0.22 0.986 -0.07 22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27B a - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27C a - - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] - - 1.15 - 1.30							[8]
22A \rightleftharpoons 22D 7 0.75 -0.05 0.968 0.10 22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27Ca - - -0.78 - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B[a] - - 1.15 - 1.30							[8]
22A \rightleftharpoons 22E 7 0.75 -0.68 0.976 -0.53 26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27B a - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27C a - - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B $^{[a]}$ - 1.15 - 1.30							[8]
26A \rightleftharpoons 26B 6 0.68 0.36 0.995 0.51 26B \rightleftharpoons 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A \rightleftharpoons 27Ba - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B \rightleftharpoons 27Ca - - -0.68 - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B[a] - 1.15 - 1.30							[8]
26B $\stackrel{?}{=}$ 26C 6 0.69 0.35 0.992 0.50 27A $\stackrel{?}{=}$ 27Ba - - 0.09 - 0.24 27B $\stackrel{?}{=}$ 27Ca - - -0.68 - -0.68 28A $\stackrel{?}{=}$ 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B $\stackrel{?}{=}$ 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A $\stackrel{?}{=}$ 29Ba - 1.15 - 1.30							[11b]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							[11b]
27B \rightleftharpoons 27C ^a - -0.68 28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] - 1.15 - 1.30		_			_		[11b]
28A \rightleftharpoons 28B 6 0.65 0.80 0.999 0.95 28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] - - 1.15 - 1.30		_	_		_		[11b]
28B \rightleftharpoons 28C 6 0.52 1.19 0.993 1.34 29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] − 1.15 − 1.30		6	0.65		0 999		[11b]
29A \rightleftharpoons 29B ^[a] − 1.15 − 1.30							[11b]
		_	-		_		[11b]
29B \Rightarrow 29C [a]1 32 1 17	$29B \stackrel{\sim}{=} 29C^{[a]}$	_	_	-1.32	_	-1.17	[11b]

 $^{^{[}a]}$ For the equilibria in $(CD_3)_2SO$.

$$\log K_{\rm X} = \rho^{({\rm X})} \,\sigma^{+({\rm X})} + \rho_{\rm F}^{({\rm Y})} \,\sigma_{\rm F}^{({\rm Y})} + \rho_{\rm R}^{({\rm Y})} \,\sigma_{\rm R}^{({\rm Y})} + k \tag{2}$$

The ring-chain tautomerism of the Schiff bases (19A) containing 1,2- and 1,3-amino alcohol moieties resulted in five-component tautomeric mixtures containing C-2-epimeric 2-aryltetrahydro-1,3-oxazines (19B and 19C) and 2-aryloxazolidines (19D and 19E) (Scheme 4). The proportion of the oxazine forms (B and C) was high enough for the C-2 epimers to be distinguished by direct NMR spectroscopic methods in the five-component tautomeric mixture. The characteristic 2-H proton lines of the minor oxazolidine tautomers (19D and 19E) could be identified only by comparison with the NMR spectroscopic data for the three-component equilibria of 20. The equilibria of 19A-E proved that, when two types of ring closure are possible in a ring-chain tautomeric process, the favoured 6-endo-trig

route does not exclude ring closure by the disfavoured 5-endo-trig route. The ratios of the two types of ring-closed forms in the equilibria of **19** could be characterized by Equation (1) (Tables 1 and 2).^[7]

Both single and double cyclizations occurred in the condensations of *cis*- and *trans*-aminocyclohexanediols **25** and **26** with equivalent amounts of aromatic aldehydes to give multicomponent tautomeric mixtures containing monocyclized ring forms of oxazolidine (**B** and **C**) and tetrahydro-1,3-oxazine (**D** and **E**), product types **21** and **22**, together with the ring-open tautomers **21A** and **22A**, and 7,11-dioxa-9-azatricyclo[7.2.1.0^{1,6}]dodecane diastereomers **23** and **24** (Scheme 5). The tautomeric ratios were found to be characterized by Equation (1) (Tables 1 and 2) and influenced by the stereochemistry of the starting amino alcohol. For the equilibria derived from the *cis*-amino diol, **21E** was the

 $Y = mNO_2$: 7, mBr: 8, pCl: 9, H: 10, pMe: 11, pOMe: 12

Y = pBr: 13, pCl: 14, H: 15, pF: 16, pMe: 17, pOMe: 18 X = pNO_2 , mBr, pCl, mOMe, H, pMe, pOMe, $pNMe_2$

Scheme 3

Scheme 4

major monocyclized tautomer and **23D** the *major* tricycle. For the *trans*-amino diol derived equilibria, however, **22D** and **24B** proved to be the corresponding *major* components. The unusual formation of the tricycles **23** and **24** was explained in terms of aldehyde transfer reactions between the Schiff bases **21A** or **22A** and the *major* monocyclic forms **21E** or **23D**.^[8]

When the 1,2-amino diol moieties in the Schiff base form contained different substituents, complex tautomeric mixtures containing C-2 epimers of regioisomeric oxazolidine forms were obtained. Such ring-chain tautomeric processes have been thoroughly investigated in the case of 2-amino-1-phenyl-1,3-propanediol (phenylserinol) derivatives.^[9,10] In the equilibria of (1S,2S)-2-amino-1-(p-nitrophenyl)-1,3-propanediol derivatives 27 in (CD₃)₂SO, only the major ringclosed tautomers 27D and 27E could be distinguished by NMR analysis; traces of the other ring forms 27B and 27C could be seen only in cases of some strongly electron-withdrawing 2-aryl substituents.^[9] In the ring-chain tautomeric equilibria of the compounds derived from (1S,2S)- and $(1R^*,2S^*)$ -2-amino-1-phenyl-1,3-propanediol **28** and **29**, the proportions of the ring forms in CDCl₃ allowed unequivocal identification of all four cyclic tautomers $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{E}$ by NMR methods (Scheme 6). The tautomeric ratios at equilibria were found to follow Equation (1) (Table 2). The relative stabilities of the isomeric ring forms were strongly affected by the relative configurations of the substituted carbon atoms and the steric interactions of the substituents in the cyclic tautomers.[10]

In the case of 1,3-O,N-heterocycles derived from primary diamino diols and 2 equiv. of aldehydes, two-step ring-chain tautomeric equilibria are possible. Compounds 30-33, obtained from the threo and erythro isomers of 1,4-diamino-2,3-butanediol and 2,3-diamino-1,4-butanediol, proved to participate in multicomponent tautomeric equilibria involving 1,3-oxazine (B) and dioxadiazadecalin (C) tautomeric forms, as well as the Schiff bases (A) (Scheme 7). On addition of the β -hydroxy groups to the C=N bonds, oxazolidine derivatives were also formed as minor cyclic tautomers (< 10%). Both consecutive ring-closures to give 1,3-oxazines were stereoselective, yielding the mono- and bicyclic forms **B** and **C** as the main diastereomers, with the configurations depicted in Scheme 7. Each equilibrium of $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathbf{B}$ type and of $\mathbf{B} \supseteq \mathbf{C}$ type for the *cis*-fused compounds 30 and 32 in CDCl₃ or in (CD₃)₂SO could be characterized by Equation (1), but the values of $\log K_{X=H}$ could also be determined for the equilibria of trans-fused dioxadiazadecalins 31 and 33. In contrast to the cyclohexane-condensed 2aryltetrahydro-1,3-oxazines, in which the trans-fused rings increased the stability of the ring-closed tautomers to a greater extent than the cis ring junction, the values of c indicated more stability for the cis-fused dioxadiazadecalins 30C and 32C than for their trans-fused counterparts 31C and 33C.[11]

Substituent effects on the stabilities of the ring and chain forms in a tautomeric equilibrium of 2-aryl-substituted oxazolidines and tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines have been studied with the aid of ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and PM3 charge density and energy calculations. The reaction energies of the isodesmic reactions showed that electron-donating substituents stabilized both the chain and the ring tautomers, but that the effect on the stability of the chain form was stronger than that on the stability of the ring form. It was concluded that the substituent dependence of the relative stability of the ring and chain tautomers in equilibrium was governed by several different electronic effects. At least intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the imine nitrogen

Table 2. Substituent effects on the ring-chain equilibria of 2-aryloxazolidines in CDCl₃; linear regression analysis data according to Equation (1)

Equilibrium	Number of points	Slope (ρ)	Intercept (log $K_{X = H}$)	Correlation coefficient	c	Ref.
19A ≥ 19D+E	9	0.62	-1.03	0.990	0.07	[7]
$20A \stackrel{?}{\sim} 20B + C$	9	0.55	-0.80	0.992	0.30	[7]
$21A \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\sim} 21B$	7	0.65	-1.19	0.988	-0.09	[8]
21A ≥ 21C	7	0.62	-1.04	0.999	0.06	[8]
22A ≥ 22B	7	0.63	-0.27	0.988	0.83	[8]
22A ≥ 22C	7	0.64	-0.50	0.995	0.60	[8]
28A ≥ 28B	10	0.51	-0.33	0.985	0.77	[10]
28A ≥ 28C	10	0.61	-0.01	0.996	1.09	[10]
28A ≥ 28D	10	0.50	0.15	0.983	1.25	[10]
28A ≥ 28E	10	0.64	-0.69	0.990	0.41	[10]
29A ≥ 29B	10	0.55	-0.63	0.995	0.47	[10]
29A ≥ 29C	10	0.48	-0.83	0.983	0.27	[10]
$29A \stackrel{\frown}{>} 29D$	10	0.50	-0.84	0.982	0.26	[10]
$29A \stackrel{\frown}{=} 29E$	10	0.55	-0.60	0.997	0.50	[10]

 R^* : 21, 23, 25; S^* : 22, 24, 26; $X = pNO_2$, mCl, pCl, H, pMe, pOMe, $pNMe_2$

Scheme 5

atom and the hydroxy group and polarization of the C=N bond were found to contribute in the chain form. The increase in stability of the ring form caused by the presence of electron-donating substituents was explained in terms of stereoelectronic and electrostatic effects.^[12]

The C-2 epimerization reactions of spirooxazolidines obtained from amino polyols with alicyclic ketones can be explained in terms of ring-chain tautomerism. Despite the absence of a detectable amount of the open tautomer 34A in the NMR spectra, the solvent-dependent [(CD₃)₂SO and CDCl₃] *cis/trans* ratios of the spirooxazolidines 34B and 34C prepared from 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane

and 2-, 3- or 4-substituted cyclohexanones could be explained by the tautomeric interconversion of the cyclic forms (Scheme 8).^[13]

For the dispirooxazolidines 35 and 36, the C-2 epimerization process through the undetectable open tautomers is even more complicated, since not only the *cis-trans* geometry of the heteroatoms attached to the cyclohexane ring, but also the relative configurations of the asymmetric centres in the oxazolidines are involved (Scheme 9). The proportions of the tautomers A-D in the equilibria for 35 and 36, determined by NMR methods, exhibited a considerable solvent dependence, but in each solvent

(1S,2S), Y = NO₂: 27; (1S,2S), Y = H: 28; (1R*,2S*), Y = H: 29 $X = pNO_2$, mNO_2 , mBr, pCl, pF, H, pMe, pOMe, $pNMe_2$

Scheme 6

 $X = pNO_2$, pBr, H, pMe, pOMe, $pNMe_2$

Scheme 7

Scheme 8

[(CD₃)₂SO, CDCl₃ and D₂O] the trans-unlike diastereomer **(B)** proved to be the *major* component.^[13]

When (1S,2S)-2-amino-1-phenyl- and 1-(p-nitrophenyl)-1,3-propanediol were treated with terephthalaldehyde, the

Scheme 9

condensation products 37 and 38 proved to be complex tautomeric mixtures. The two C=N bonds allowed consecutive ring closures, and because of the two non-equivalent hydroxy groups, the formation of diastereomers of two regioisomeric oxazolidine ring forms was possible (Scheme 10). NMR analysis revealed that the cyclizations occurred regioselectively: only the 4,5-disubstituted oxazolidines were detectable. The equilibria of 37 and 38 in (CD₃)₂SO involved only diimine (A) and double oxazolidine (D-F) ring forms, the open diimine form being the major component. Among the cyclic tautomers, oxazolidines of type E were found to be the dominant diastereomers.[14] More complicated tautomeric mixtures 39 and 40 were formed from methyl- and ethylserinols with terephthalaldehyde, in which the number of possible diastereomers was increased by the relative configurations of C-2 and C-4 in the cyclic tautomers (Scheme 10). The methyl and ethyl substituents exerted significant effects on the tautomeric ratios in the equilibria of 39 and 40 in (CD₃)₂SO; in 39 and 40, the relative proportions of the components were B,C > A > D-I and D-I > B,C > A, respectively.[14]

Highly diastereoselective ring closures were found in the ring-chain tautomeric equilibria of dioxadiazadecalin macrocycles 41 and 42 (Scheme 11), as in the analogous bicyclic derivatives 30–33. The equilibria of 41 and 42 at 298 K were shifted towards the double ring-closed tautomers (C) both in CDCl₃ and in (CD₃)₂SO solution. Heating of the solutions caused no change in the tautomeric ratios for 41 in CDCl₃ or for 42 in either solvent, but elevated temperatures produced increases in the proportions of the open and the monocyclic tautomers (A and B) in the equilibria of 41 in (CD₃)₂SO. The ring-chain tautomerism exerted a strong influence on the cavity size and therefore on the metal ion complexation processes of 41. Ni²⁺, Cd²⁺ and Pb²⁺ were complexed by 41 in different tautomeric modes,

depending on the size of the cation. The metal ion complexation properties of **42** were not influenced by the ring-chain tautomerism. The analogous macrocycles **43** and **44** proved to exist as tautomeric mixtures in CDCl₃/CD₃OD and in (CD₃)₂SO, without apparent preference for any tautomer.^[15]

In the reaction between tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and methylglyoxal, two regioisomeric Schiff bases (45Aa and 45Ab) and a complex tautomeric mixture were formed. The cyclizations of the open forms (45Aa,b) occurred either through *endo-trig* or through *exo-trig* ring closures to yield regioisomeric oxazolidine (45Ba,b) and dihydro-1,4-oxazine tautomeric forms (45Ca,b). The consecutive cyclizations afforded methyl-substituted regioisomers of 3,6-dioxa-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octanes (45Da,b and 45Ea,b), the structures of which were characterized by their ¹H and ¹³C NMR parameters (Scheme 12).^[16]

There are numerous examples of ring-chain tautomerism among 1,3-O,N-heterocyclic hydroxylamine derivatives, in which the cyclic tautomer is formed as a result of the intramolecular addition of a hydroxy group to the C=N double bond of the corresponding nitrone.^[1-3] Peracid oxidation of 2,3,5-substituted isoxazolidines produced equilibrium mixtures of nitrones and their cyclic hydroxylamine tautomers of tetrahydro-1,3-oxazine type (46). The tautomeric ratios for 46 were found to depend strongly on the substituents. The nitrone/hydroxylamine equilibrium could be shifted by acetylation, which gave the *O*-acetyl derivatives (47) of the cyclic tautomers (Scheme 13).^[17]

3. 1,3-S,N-Heterocycles

The ring-chain tautomeric character of thiazolidines and tetrahydro-1,3-thiazines can usually be deduced only from indirect evidence, such as the formation of metal chelates,

Scheme 10

Scheme 11

HO

$$R^1$$
 R^2
 R^2

Scheme 12

$$R^{6}$$
 OH OH OH R^{1} R^{6} OH R^{2} R^{6} OH R^{2} R^{6} OH R^{2} R^{4} R^{3} R^{2} R^{4} R^{3} OAc R^{4} R^{5} R^{5} R^{6} OH R^{2} R^{2} R^{4} R^{3} OAc R^{4} R^{5} R^{5} R^{5} R^{5} R^{6} R^{7} R^{7} R^{8} R^{8} R^{1} R^{2} R^{5} R^{5} R^{5} R^{7} R^{7} R^{8} R^{1} R^{2} R^{5} R^{5} R^{5} R^{7} R^{7} R^{8} R^{1} R^{2} R^{5} R^{5}

Scheme 13

hydrolysis, C-2 epimerization and carbon-transfer reactions. The tautomeric equilibria of these compounds are completely shifted towards the ring-closed forms; open tautomers have been detected only in special cases. [1-3] There are only two examples from recent years that concern the ringchain tautomerism of a 1,3-S,N-heterocyclic system.

As with other thiazolidine derivatives, no open forms could be detected in the condensation products obtained from 2-aminoethanethiol and aldoses (arabinose, rhamnose,

glucose, mannose and galactose). Depending on the competing addition of SH and OH groups, both endo-trig and exo-trig ring closures of the open forms (A) are possible, yielding diastereomeric cyclic forms of thiazolidine (B and C) or pyranose (D and E) types (Scheme 14). The tautomeric equilibria in (CD₃)₂SO involved only the C-2 epimeric thiazolidine tautomers (**B** and **C**), with the exception of the glucose derivative 48, in which a considerable proportion of the β-pyranose ring form (D) also participated in the equilibrium. In the oxa analogues, prepared from 2-aminoethanol and aldoses, the tautomeric equilibria in (CD₃)₂SO were completely shifted towards the pyranose forms.^[20]

In the reactions between 3-substituted 3-aminothioacrylanilides and ethyl bromoacetate, N-bridged 1,3-thiazolium-

Scheme 14

4-olates **49** were synthesized. The deep-blue compounds **49** displayed an unusual ring-chain tautomeric equilibrium, in which the interconversion of the open and cyclic forms was accomplished by valence tautomerism instead of proton migration (Scheme 15). The tautomeric ratios for **49** were found to be dependent on the solvent and influenced by the pH.^[21]

Scheme 15

4. 1,3-N,N-Heterocycles

A large number of examples of quantitative investigations of the ring-chain tautomerism in 1,3-N,N-heterocyclic compounds have emerged in recent years. A large proportion of these studies were aimed at extension of the applicability of Equation (1), well known to characterize the equilibria of 2-aryl-1,3-O,N-heterocycles, to the ring-chain tautomerism of the corresponding diaza analogues.

1-Alkyl-substituted 2-arylimidazolidines 50-53 proved to be ring-chain tautomeric mixtures, the equilibria of which in CDCl₃ could be described by Equation (1) (Scheme 16). The tautomeric ratios and the parameters in Equation (1) were strongly influenced by the steric effect of substituent R on the nitrogen atom at position 1. The proportions of the open forms (A) and the slopes (ρ) of the regression lines for the equilibria 50-53 according to Equation (1) increased with increasing bulkiness of sub-

 $R = Me: 50, \ R = Et: 51, \ R = Pr: 52, \ R = iPr: 53$ $X = pNO_2, pCN, mBr, pBr, pCl, H, pMe, pOMe, pNMe_2$

 $Y = pNO_2$: 54, mCl: 55, H: 56, pMe: 57, pOMe: 58, pNMe₂: 59 $X = pNO_2$, pCN, mBr, pBr, pCl, H, pMe, pOMe, pNMe₂

Scheme 16

stituent R (Table 3). In the tautomeric equilibria of 1,2-diarylimidazolidines 54–59, the electronic effect of the aryl group at position 2 on the ring-chain ratios could also be characterized by Equation (1). The aryl substituent at position 1 similarly influenced the slopes of the regression lines according to Equation (1), a more strongly electron-donating substituent Y producing a higher value of ρ. The aryl groups on the nitrogen atom considerably decreased the proportions of the cyclic tautomers in the equilibria. To express the effect of the substituted nitrogen atom at position 1 on the stability of the ring-closed tautomeric form, a heteroatom effect parameter (c_h) was introduced, referring to the stability difference of the corresponding 1,3-N,N- and -O,N-heterocycles ($c_h = \log K_{X=H}^{N,N} - \log K_{X=H}^{O,N}$). For fivemembered 1,3-Y,N-heterocycles, the stability of the ring form increases in the following heteroatom (Y) sequence: O < NiPr < NPh < NnPr \approx NEt < NMe < S.^[22]

The mass spectra of 50–53 and 56 showed that these compounds also participate in ring-chain tautomeric equilibria in the gas phase. The tautomeric ratios proved to be dependent on the electronic effect of substituent X on the 2-phenyl ring, but more ring forms were found for electrondonating substituents X than for electron-withdrawing ones, which is the opposite of the situation for these equilibria in CDCl₃. The unusual behaviour of 50–53 and 56 in the gas phase was explained in terms of the differences in fragmentation efficiency between chain forms with different substituents X on the phenyl group.^[23]

Isoquinoline-condensed, aryl-substituted imidazolidine derivatives 60 and 61 also proved to be of a ring-chain tautomeric character in CDCl₃ (Scheme 17). Their equilibria, involving diastereomeric ring forms (B and C) besides the open tautomer (A), could be described by Equation (1). The relative configuration of the cyclic tautomer exerts only a small influence on the values of ρ and the intercept (log $K_{\rm X=H}$) for the equilibria of angular compounds (60), whereas considerable differences in the values of ρ and log $K_{\rm X = H}$ were found for the equilibria of the *major* and *minor* ring forms of the linear imidazoisoguinolines 61. For 61, X-substituent-dependent hyperconjugative interactions were observed between the nitrogen lone pair and the C-3attached antibonding orbitals. This anomeric effect is presumed to play an important role in the ring-chain tautomeric equilibria of conformationally inflexible 2-aryl-1,3-N,N-heterocycles.[24]

Both the substituent on the nitrogen atom and the presence of an annelated ring had marked effects on the ringchain tautomeric processes of 2-arylhexahydropyrimidines. No open tautomer could be detected in CDCl₃ solutions of the *N*-methyl-substituted derivatives **63** and **66**. The *N*unsubstituted, the *N*-isopropyl-substituted and the *N*-phenyl-substituted compounds **62**, **64**, **65**, **67** and **68** proved to be tautomeric mixtures (Scheme 18), the equilibria of which could be described by Equation (1).[25,26] As with the analogous 1,3-O,N-heterocycles, the presence of a condensed benzene ring increased the stability of the ring form. To characterize the stabilizing effects of the annelated ring, a substituent effect parameter (c_s) was calculated as the differ-

Table 3. Substituent effects on the ring-chain equilibria of 2-arylimidazolidines in CDCl₃; linear regression analysis data according to Equation (1)

Equilibrium	Number of points	Slope (p)	Intercept (log $K_{X = H}$)	Correlation coefficient	$c_{\rm h}$	Ref.
50A ≥ 50B	9	0.53	0.75	0.990	1.85	[22a]
$50A \stackrel{>}{\sim} 50B^{[a]}$	8	0.61	0.84	0.970	_	[22a]
51A ≥ 51B	9	0.59	0.25	0.984	1.35	[22a]
52A ≥ 52B	9	0.62	0.25	0.989	1.35	[22a]
53A ≥ 53B	8	0.82	-0.97	0.973	0.13	[22a]
54A ≥ 54B	9	0.49	-0.27	0.991	0.83	[22b]
55A ≥ 55B	9	0.58	-0.25	0.999	0.85	[22b]
56A ≥ 56B	9	0.67	-0.20	0.997	0.90	[22a]
$56A \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\sim} 56B^{[a]}$	9	0.72	-0.48	0.993	_	[22a]
57A ≥ 57B	9	0.67	-0.15	0.997	0.95	[22b]
58A ≥ 58B	8	0.68	-0.20	0.989	0.90	[22b]
59A ≥ 59B	7	0.77	-0.20	0.996	0.90	[22b]
$60A \stackrel{\smile}{=} 60B$	9	0.56	0.44	0.961	_	[24]
60A ≥ 60C	9	0.60	0.72	0.903	_	[24]
$61A \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} 61B$	9	0.44	1.02	0.982	_	[24]
$61A \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} 61C$	9	0.65	-0.22	0.985	_	[24]

[[]a] For the equilibria in (CD₃)₂SO.

 $X = pNO_2$, pCF_3 , pBr, pCl, H, pF, pMe, pOMe, $pNMe_2$

Scheme 17

Scheme 18

ence in the intercepts for the given 4-, 5- and 6-substituted 2-aryl-1,3-N,N-heterocycle and the corresponding monocyclic 2-aryl-1,3-N,N-heterocycle bearing the same substituent on the nitrogen atom (Table 4). From a comparison of the heteroatom parameters for 62-65 and the corresponding 2-aryltetrahydro-1,3-oxazines, the stability of the ring form increases in the following heteroatom (Y) sequence: NPh < NiPr < O < NH < NMe; while for the tetrahydroquinazolines 66-68 and the related 2-aryl-3,1-benzoxazines, the sequence of Y is NPh < O < NiPr < NMe. [26]

The substituents on the nitrogen atom and the stereochemistry of the ring junction strongly influenced the ringchain tautomerism of cis- and trans-2-aryldecahydroquinazolines 69-74. For the methyl-substituted derivatives 69 and 72, no open-chain tautomeric forms A could be detected. The cis- and trans-3-isopropyl- and trans-3-phenylsubstituted compounds 70, 73 and 74 proved to be threecomponent ring-chain tautomeric mixtures containing open and C-2-epimeric cyclic forms B and C. In the case of the cis-3-phenyl derivatives 71, the tautomeric equilibria were shifted towards the open form; cyclic tautomers could be detected only for compounds bearing electron-withdrawing substituents X (Scheme 19). The annelated cyclohexane ring was found to have a stabilizing effect on the ring-closed tautomers: the values of c_s were higher for the trans isomers (Table 4). The stabilities of the ring-closed forms of cis- and trans-2-aryldecahydroquinazolines 69-74 and the corre-

Table 4. Substituent effects on the ring-chain equilibria of 2-aryl-substituted hexahydropyrimidines, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- and perhydroquinazolines in CDCl₃; linear regression analysis data according to Equation (1)

Equilibrium	Number of points	Slope (p)	Intercept (log $K_{X = H}$)	Correlation coefficient	$c_{\rm h}$	$c_{\rm s}$	Ref.
62A ≥ 62B	7	0.84	0.93	0.99	1.08	_	[25b]
$64A \stackrel{>}{\sim} 64B$	6	0.77	-1.04	0.997	-0.89	_	[26]
$65A \stackrel{>}{\sim} 65B$	7	0.42	-1.28	0.988	-1.13	_	[26]
$67A \stackrel{>}{\sim} 67B$	7	0.72	1.49	0.978	0.38	2.53	[26]
$68A \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\sim} 68B$	7	0.93	0.67	0.984	-0.44	1.95	[26]
$70A \stackrel{>}{\sim} 70B$	7	0.51	-0.06	0.994	-0.72	0.98	[27]
$73A \stackrel{>}{\sim} 73B$	7	0.57	0.72	0.957	-0.40	2.00	[27]
73A ≥ 73C	6	0.71	-0.43	0.952	0.26	0.85	[27]
74A ≥ 74B	7	0.85	-0.59	0.985	-1.71	0.69	[27]
74A ≥ 74C	6	1.21	-1.39	0.998	-0.70	-0.11	[27]

cis: R = Me: 69, R = iPr: 70, R = Ph: 71; trans: R = Me: 72, R = iPr: 73, R = Ph: 74

 $X = pNO_2$, mBr, pCl, H, pMe, pOMe, pNMe₂

Scheme 19

sponding 2-aryloctahydro-3,1-benzoxazines were found to increase in the following sequence of the heteroatom at position 3: NPh < NiPr < O < NMe.^[27]

The 1,3-unsubstituted imidazolidines and hexahydropyrimidines bearing non-branched chain alkyl substituents (75 and 76: $R^1 = Me$, Et, Pr, $R^2 = H$) proved to exist in CDCl₃ exclusively as the ring-closed tautomers (**B**). However, 2-isopropyl- (75 and 76: $R^1 = i$ Pr, $R^2 = H$) and 2,2-dialkyl-substituted derivatives (75 and 76: R^1 , $R^2 = alkyl$) participated in ring-chain tautomeric equilibria (Scheme 20). For the 2-phenyl-substituted imidazolidine (75: $R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = H$), the tautomeric equilibrium was totally shifted towards the open tautomer (**A**). [25b,28] The mass spectra of 2,2-dialkyl-substituted imidazolidines (75: R^1 , $R^2 = Me$, Et) also pointed to the ring-chain tautomeric character of these compounds in the gas phase. [29]

According to NMR analysis, the deuterated 2-isopropyl-substituted 1,3-N,N-heterocycles 77a-c also formed ring-

$$H_2N$$
 R^1
 R^2
 R^2
 R^2
 R^2
 R^2
 R^1
 R^2
 R^2
 R^1
 R^2
 R^2
 R^1
 R^2
 R^1
 R^2
 R^2
 R^1
 R^2
 R^2

Scheme 21

chain tautomeric mixtures in (CD₃)₂SO/D₂O (Scheme 21). For the five- and six-membered compounds **77a,b**, the equilibrium was shifted towards the cyclic tautomer **B**; while for hexahydro-1,3-diazepine **77c** the open form **A** was found to be the predominant tautomer. Free energies, enthalpies and entropies of activation were determined for all three equilibria.^[30]

Multicomponent equilibria involving regioisomeric cyclic forms are also known among 1,3-N,N-heterocycles. As with the 2-aminoethanethiol derivatives (e.g., 48), the condensation products (in the case of glucose: 78) of 1,3-diaminopropane and aldoses were found to participate in tautomeric equilibria involving the corresponding anomeric pyranose and the hexahydropyrimidine cyclic forms B-D, the interconversion of which took place via the undetectable open tautomer (A) (Scheme 22). Tautomeric ratios were deter-

Scheme 22

Scheme 20

mined by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. In each equilibrium, the hexahydropyrimidine forms were the main components (50–100%). In the homologous 1,2-diaminoethane derivatives, only the anomeric pyranose forms could be detected in the tautomeric mixtures.^[31]

The regioisomeric Schiff-base formation, the imine/enamine and (Z)/(E) isomerization of the double bond and the competing exo or endo ring closures of the open tautomer resulted in multicomponent tautomeric mixtures for the compounds 79 and 80 obtained from N-phenyl- or Nbenzyl-substituted 5-hydroxyisoxazolidin-3-one with 2-(aminomethyl)aniline (Scheme 23). Because of the higher nucleophilicity of the benzylamine nitrogen atom, no Schiff bases of aniline type, and probably therefore no anilino-substituted isoxazolidine ring forms, could be detected in the equilibria. According to ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR measurements, the equilibria involved (Z) and (E) isomers of the enamine open forms (A) together with the benzylaminosubstituted isoxazolidine (D) and the tetrahydroquinazoline cyclic forms (C), the proportions of which varied, depending on the solvents [CDCl₃, (CD₃)₂SO and CD_3CN].^[32] The (Z) and (E) isomers of the corresponding enamine open form were also detected in the ring-chain equilibrium of 2-methyl-2-(morpholinocarbonylmethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline in (CD₃)₂SO.^[33]

Scheme 23

5. 1,3-Heterocycles with Additional Heteroatoms

Ring-chain tautomerism is also observed in 1,3-X,N-heterocyclic derivatives containing an extra heteroatom in the ring. A large group of these compounds is made up of the 1,3,4-X,N,N-heterocycles formed by the corresponding hydrazones bearing an XH group capable of reversible intramolecular addition to the C=N bond.^[1-3]

In the reaction between mercaptoacetylhydrazine and acetone, a 1,3,4-thiadiazine derivative **81** was formed, and was found to be the cyclic tautomer in the solid state. In $[D_5]$ pyridine and in $(CD_3)_2SO$ solutions, however, **81** was a mixture of open (**A**) and cyclic (**B**) tautomers (Scheme 24), as demonstrated by the 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopic data. $^{[34]}$

Scheme 24

The condensation products of anthranilic acid N-methylhydrazide and ketones participated in ring-chain tautomeric equilibria of the open hydrazones 82A and the corresponding cyclic 2,2-substituted 4-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-5H-1,3,4-benzotriazepin-5-one 82B forms in CD₂Cl₂, CDCl₃ and (CD₃)₂SO solutions (Scheme 25). The molecular rearrangements of 82, involving interconversions of the tautomers, isomerizations of the amide and C=N bonds of the open form, pseudorotation of the ring form and N-inversion processes, were monitored by NMR methods. Increases in the bulkiness of substituent R and elevated temperatures decreased the proportions of the ring forms (B) in the equilibria. Because of the complex rearrangement processes, the electronic effect of substituent Y on the 2phenyl ring on the tautomeric ratios could not be described by Equation (1) for 2-aryl-substituted derivatives.^[35]

X = H, Cl; R = Me, iPr, tBu, C_6H_4Y ; $Y = pNO_2$, pCF_3 , mCl, pBr, H, pMe, pOMe

Scheme 25

The double hydrazones **83A** prepared from isonitrosoacetone and isonitrosoacetophenone hydrazones with aldehydes or ketones proved to participate in ring-chain tautomeric equilibria with the corresponding 1,2,4-triazine-4-oxides **83B**,C in (CD₃)₂SO solution or in the gas phase (Scheme 26). In the case of alkyl- and hydroxyphenyl-substituted derivatives, there were considerable differences in the proportions of the open and cyclic forms measured in solution and in the gas phase. No connection between the electronic effects of the 2-aryl groups and the tautomeric ratios was found.^[36]

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2}$$

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

$$R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3$$

Scheme 26

Condensation of triaminoguanidinium iodide with aldehydes or ketones produced tris(alkylidene/arylideneamino)-guanidinium iodides (84), the ring-chain tautomerism of which was strongly dependent on the substituents. Both tautomeric forms could be detected in (CD₃)₂SO solutions of the compounds prepared from aliphatic ketones (except

pinacolone). The aldehyde and pinacolone derivatives existed entirely as the open tris(hydrazone) forms **A**. Either open or cyclic tautomeric forms of the acetophenone and propiophenone derivatives could be prepared, but no equilibrium was observed between these compounds (Scheme 27). [37]

Scheme 27

6. Applications

Recent examples provide clear evidence that the ringchain tautomeric character of 1,3-heterocyclic derivatives can often be exploited successfully in organic synthesis, and also in physical, medicinal or peptide chemistry.

The determination of σ^+ values for aryl or *X*-substituted phenyl substituents at position 2 of a ring-chain tautomeric 1,3-O,N-heterocycle is possible by means of Equation (1). The values of ρ have been accurately determined for numerous 1,3-O,N-heterocycles, and the electronic character of a substituent at position 2 can therefore be calculated according to Equation (1) by measuring the ring-chain ratios. This principle was recently applied for determination of the σ^+ values for *para*-1-imidazolyl, -1-benzimidazolyl, -1-benzotriazolyl, -2-benzotriazolyl, and -1,2,4-triazolo[2,3-*a*]pyridin-2-yl substituents on a phenyl ring.^[38]

The *N*-substitution of amino alcohols **85** containing a primary amino group can easily be achieved through their ring-chain tautomeric 1,3-O,N-heterocyclic derivatives **86**. Reduction of the open forms of the mixture results in *N*-substituted amino alcohols **87** (Scheme 28).^[39] A similar transformation, based on the ring-chain tautomeric character of the intermediate, can also be performed by starting from *N*-monosubstituted amino alcohols.^[40]

Scheme 28

Thanks to the presence of the C=N double bond in the open form, ring-chain tautomeric 1,3-O,N-heterocycles can also be applied in addition reactions. Substituents on the carbon atom in the C=N bond proved to exert a decisive influence on the stereochemistry of the new chiral carbon atom formed. The reactions between (R)-phenylglycinol-derived oxazolidines (88) and silyl enol ether in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O resulted in β -substituted β -amino esters (89) with the (R) configuration at the new centre of asymmetry,

in good yields and with high diastereomeric excesses.^[41] The additions of $\{2-[(trimethylsilyl)methyl]prop-2-enyl\}$ lithium to (*S*)-phenylglycinol-derived oxazolidines (**90**) also afforded β -amino alcohols **91** with high diastereoselectivities (Scheme 29).^[42]

Scheme 29

Probably because of a slow tautomeric equilibration process, an open (A) and two C-2-epimeric cyclic (B and C) tautomers of the condensation product 92 derived from (R)-phenylglycinol and 2,2,2-trifluoroacetophenone could be isolated. When compounds 92A—C were treated with various organolithium reagents, N-substituted amino alcohol derivatives 93 or 94 were obtained with moderate to excellent diastereoselectivities. Both yields and diastereomeric excesses were influenced by the tautomeric form of the substrate. The open and the (R,R) cyclic tautomer C gave the same amino alcohol diastereomer 94 (Scheme 30). [43]

Scheme 30

As with other tautomeric equilibria, selective reactivity of the tautomeric forms can shift a ring-chain equilibrium entirely towards the most reactive component. When oxazolidine 95, prepared from serine methyl ester and pivalal-dehyde, was acylated with various acid derivatives under different conditions, the *N*-acylated products 96 were obtained as the sole diastereomers, although 95 was a 1:1 mixture of *cis* and *trans* cyclic tautomers (B and C). The selective transformations were explained in terms of the different rates of acylation for the cyclic diastereomers, which caused

L. Lázár, F. Fülöp **MICROREVIEW**

 $R^1 = H$, Ph, CH₂COOMe; $R^2 = H$, Me, $R^3 = Ph$, CN, COOEt, etc.

Scheme 31

the total shift of the ring-chain equilibrium (Scheme 31).^[44]

The diastereoselective formation of bicyclic lactams 98 in the domino ring-closure reactions between (R)-phenylglycinol and γ-, δ- or ε-oxo acids was also explained in terms of the differences in the rates of the acylation steps $(k_2 > k_4)$ for the ring-chain tautomeric intermediates 97, shifting the equilibrium towards the cyclic form B (Scheme 32). The highest difference in k_2 and k_4 , and therefore the highest diastereoselectivity, was observed for the formation of fivemembered lactams (n = 1). Thanks to the increased flexibility of the larger ring size, elongation of the carbon chain (n = 2, 3) resulted in better conditions for the ring-closure towards 99, which decreased the diastereoselectivity of the reaction.[45]

Scheme 32

The shifts of the ring-chain equilibria in the intermediates of bicyclic lactams, caused by the difference in the acylation rates of the cyclic tautomers, can also be applied for the development of dynamic kinetic resolution procedures, if the equilibration process involves all of the centres of asymmetry to be resolved. This was the case in the reaction between (R)-phenylglycinol and racemic methyl 5-oxo-4phenylpentanoate, for which the acylation steps in the intermediate cyclic tautomers 100D-G were significantly different $(k_1 > k_2 >> k_3, k_4)$ and racemization of both new centres of asymmetry was possible either by the ring-chain tautomeric process or by the imine-enamine isomerization of the open forms 100A-C (Scheme 33). Enantiopure bicyclic lactams 101 and 102 were isolated in a ratio of 49:9. This method was also successfully applied for other racemic or prochiral oxo esters.[46]

Thanks to their ring-chain tautomeric character, 1,2-disubstituted saturated 1,3-N,N-heterocycles can be exploited as intermediates in the selective functionalization of N- monosubstituted ethylene- or propylenediamines. The reactions between 1-methylhexahydropyrimidine derivatives 105 and several electrophiles afforded products of types 107 and 108 or, after hydrolysis, the regioisomerically substituted diamines 109 and 110. The ratio of the compounds formed from the cyclic or from the open tautomer was found to depend mostly on the bulkiness of substituent R¹ at position 2 of the starting hexahydropyrimidine 106 and, to a lesser extent, on the nature or the size of the electrophiles. The reactions of the methyl or ethyl-substituted hexahydropyrimidines occurred mainly on the cyclic tautomer to yield compounds 108 or 110, while for isopropyl- or phenyl-substituted compounds, derivatives of the open forms 107 and 109 were the main products (Scheme 34).^[47]

For chiral 1,3-O,N-heterocyclic derivative 111, obtained from (2S,3S)-2,3-diamino-1,4-butanediol and salicylaldehyde or 3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylaldehyde, the ring-chain tautomeric equilibria were strongly shifted towards the open tautomer 111A. The Mn³⁺ complexes 112 of the open form were tested as chiral catalysts for the epoxidation of indene 113. No asymmetric induction was observed for the epoxidation in the presence of 112a, but the tetra-tert-butyl derivative 112b produced an enantiomeric excess of 48% in favour of (1*S*,2*R*)-1,2-epoxyindane (114) (Scheme 35).^[15b]

Because of their ring-chain tautomeric character, oxazolidines and tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines can be used as aldehyde sources in acid-catalysed condensation reactions. This approach is especially advantageous in those cases in which the aldehydes required for the condensations are unstable or difficult to access. Carbon-transfer reactions of tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines 115 or oxazolidines 116 have been utilized in recent years for the synthesis of fused pyran and pyridine derivatives 118, 119, 121 and 122. Depending on the imine-enamine tautomerism of the open forms and the presence of an additional electrophilic or nucleophilic reactive site in the C-2 substituent, the starting 1,3-O,N-heterocycles were found to react with nucleophiles either in 1:2 or in 1:1 stoichiometric ratios (Scheme 36).[48]

2-Substituted 1,3-O,N-heterocycles 115 and 116 were applied in modified Pictet-Spengler reactions to yield tetrahydro-β-carbolines 124 and 125. Condensations with tryptophan esters (123: R⁶ = COOMe, COOiPr) took place with low to excellent diastereoselectivity, resulting in the trans-1,3-disubstituted derivatives (a) as the main products. The diastereoselectivities of the reactions were found to be influenced strongly both by the N-substituent (R^7) of the tryptophan ester and by the C-2 substituents $(R^1 \text{ and } CH_2R^4)$ in the starting 1,3-0,N-heterocycles (Scheme 36).[49]

An asymmetric carbon-transfer reaction was also performed through the use of 2-(p-tolyl)sulfinylmethyltetrahydro-1,3-oxazine (126) as a chiral aldehyde equivalent, but only moderate diastereomeric excesses (ca. 40%) could be achieved in the case of tryptamine-derived tetrahydro-βcarbolines (127: $R^6 = H$) (Scheme 37).^[50]

Serine-, threonine- and cysteine (128)-derived ring-chain tautomeric 1,3-X,N-heterocycles (129: pseudo-prolines) proved not only to be reversible protecting groups for these

Phum
$$H$$
 Ph h Ph h

Scheme 33

NHMe NHMe
$$R^2$$
 N Me R^2 N Me

 $R^1 = Me$, Et, iPr, Ph; $R^2 = Ac$, Boc, tBuNHCO, PhNHCO, PhCH₂NHCO Scheme 34

Scheme 35

Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 3025-3042

amino acids, but also to be versatile tools with which to overcome some intrinsic problems in peptide synthesis (Scheme 38). Thanks to the induction of a kink conformation in the peptide backbone, pseudo-prolines prevent peptide aggregation, self-association and β-structure formation, thereby improving the solvation and coupling kinetics of the growing peptide chain.^[51] A perfluoroalkyl-substituted pseudo-proline analogue of bis(trifluoromethyl)serine (133) was recently prepared by condensation-addition of glycine (130) with hexafluoroacetone in (Scheme 39).[52]

The formation and subsequent rearrangement of a pseudo-proline ring could also be exploited in the coupling of peptide segments. Condensations of peptidyl glycoaldehyde esters 135 with an N-terminal serine, threonine or cysteine peptide moiety 134 resulted in ring-chain tautomeric pseudo-proline derivatives 136, in which the new peptide bond was formed by $O \rightarrow N$ -acyl migration. Pseudoprolines of thiazolidine type 136 (X = S) could be prepared both under aqueous and under non-aqueous conditions by use of unprotected peptides, while couplings via the oxazolidine derivatives 136 (X = O) were best performed in a nonaqueous pyridine/acetic acid mixture. This concept was also applied in the synthesis of cyclic peptides (Scheme 40).^[53]

Many unfavourable pharmacological and physicochemical properties of drugs can be improved by the application of prodrugs. Ring-chain tautomeric prodrugs include 1,3-X,N-heterocycles prepared from difunctional compounds with aldehydes or ketones. From the ring-chain equilibria of these derivatives, the open form undergoes hydrolysis to give the bioactive molecule, which can be either the original difunctional compound or an oxo compound (Scheme 41). This concept was applied in cases of oxazolidine and thiazolidine prodrugs derived from (-)-ephedrine, L-cysteine and hydrocortisone.[1-3,54] Among 1,2,4-heterocycles, 2substituted 1,2,4-oxadiazines can serve as prodrugs of antiinflammatory 1,2-hydrazinoalcohol derivatives.^[55]

Oxazolidine derivatives 139 obtained by condensations between (-)-ephedrine (138) and oxo compounds were found to have abilities similar to or somewhat poorer than that of ephedrine to increase locomotor activity in rats. Hydrolysis of oxazolidines 139 via the open iminium intermediates 140 is the key step in the liberation of the active substance, and substituent effects were investigated in detail. The hydrolysis half-lives for 139 were strongly influenced by the C-2 substituents.[56,57] Complexation with hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin caused increases both in the stability of the oxazolidine prodrugs 139 towards hydrolysis and in their effects on the central nervous system.^[58]

© 2003 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

 $R^{1}=H, \text{ alkyl, aryl; } R^{2}, R^{3}, R^{5}=H, \text{ Me; } R^{4}=\text{Me, CH}_{2}\text{COOEt, CN, COMe, COPh, COOEt, COCH}_{2}\text{COMe, COCH}_{2}\text{COOEt; } \\ R^{6}=H, \text{ COOMe, COO}_{i}\text{Pr; } R^{7}=H, \text{ CH}_{2}\text{Ph, C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{OMe}(p); \text{ } X=O, \text{ NH} \\ R^{6}=H, \text{ COOMe, COO}_{i}\text{Pr; } R^{7}=H, \text{ CH}_{2}\text{Ph, C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{OMe}(p); \text{ } X=O, \text{ NH} \\ R^{6}=H, \text{ COOMe, COO}_{i}\text{Pr; } R^{7}=H, \text{ CH}_{2}\text{Ph, C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{OMe}(p); \text{ } X=O, \text{ NH} \\ R^{6}=H, \text{ COOMe, COO}_{i}\text{Pr; } R^{7}=H, \text{ COOMe, COOHe, COO$

Scheme 36

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me.}_{\text{Me.}} \text{OH} \\ \text{Ne} \text{Me} \\ \text{Ne} \text{Me} \\ \text{Ne} \text{Me} \\ \text{Ne} \\ \text{Ne}$$

Scheme 37

HOOC
$$NH_2$$
 $R = M$, $X = O$; a, $R = M$ e, $X = O$; b, $R = H$, $X = S$; c R^1 R^2 R^2 R^3 R^4 R^2 R^3 R^4 R^4 R^2 R^4 R

Scheme 38

Conclusions and Prospects

Ring-chain tautomerism is to be expected in 1,3-X,N-heterocycles in which C-2 has an sp³ character. With progress in spectroscopic methods, increasingly more complex tautomeric systems have been suitably characterized, and ever

COOH
$$F_{3}C$$
 CF_{3} $DMSO$ $F_{3}C$ CF_{3} $DMSO$ $F_{3}C$ CF_{3} $DMSO$ $F_{3}C$ CF_{3} $DMSO$ $F_{3}C$ CF_{3} $F_{3}C$ CF_{3} $DMSO$ $F_{3}C$ $F_{3}C$

Scheme 40

more is becoming known on the effects of substituents, heteroatoms, etc. Most of these effects can be described by simple mathematical equations.

Many qualitative and quantitative data with which to describe the tautomeric process are available, but on the other hand more investigations are still necessary in order to

OH
$$R^1 \longrightarrow R^2$$
 $R^2 \longrightarrow R^1 \longrightarrow R^2$ $R^2 \longrightarrow R^1 \longrightarrow R^2$ $R^2 \longrightarrow R^2$

 $R^1 = H$, Me; $R^2 = H$, Me, Et, nPr, iPr, tBu, Ph

Scheme 41

understand the fine-tuned stereoelectronic effects on the process. Full mapping theoretical calculations to describe the process in general will most probably appear in the near future. Besides investigations of the quantitative substituent effect and theoretical calculations, exponential progress is to be expected in the synthetic use of the process, primarily in enantioselective transformations, and especially in dynamic kinetic resolution processes. Dynamic progress is also being made in the synthesis of self-organized macromolecules and in medicinal chemistry use.

Acknowledgments

The authors' thanks are due to the Hungarian Research Foundation (OTKA no. TS04888) for financial support.

- [1] R. Valters, W. Flitsch, Ring-Chain Tautomerism; Plenum, New York, 1985.
- [2] F. Fülöp, Acta Chim. Hung. Models Chem. 1994, 131, 697-717.
- [3] [3a] R. E. Valters, F. Fülöp, D. Korbonits, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1995**, *64*, 251–321. [3b] R. E. Valters, F. Fülöp, D. Korbonits, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1996**, *66*, 1–71.
- [4] K. N. Zelenin, V. V. Alekseyev, K. Pihlaja, V. V. Ovcharenko, Russ. Chem. Bull., Int. Ed. 2002, 51, 1-17.
- [5] Z. Szakonyi, F. Fülöp, G. Bernáth, F. Evanics, F. G. Riddell, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 1013-1020.
- [6] [6a] I. Szatmári, T. A. Martinek, L. Lázár, F. Fülöp, *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 2877–2884. [6b] I. Szatmári, T. A. Martinek, A. Koch, E. Kleinpeter, K. Neuvonen, L. Lázár, F. Fülöp, unpublished results.
- [7] L. Lázár, A. G. Lakatos, F. Fülöp, G. Bernáth, F. G. Riddell, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 1081–1088.
- [8] A. Hetényi, Z. Szakonyi, K. D. Klika, K. Pihlaja, F. Fülöp, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 2175–2182.
- [9] [9a] M. Darabantu, G. Plé, S. Mager, E. Cotora, L. Gaina, L. Costas, A. Mates, *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 1873–1890. [9b] M. Darabantu, G. Plé, S. Mager, L. Gaina, E. Cotora, A. Mates, L. Costas, *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 1891–1908.
- [10] T. Martinek, L. Lázár, F. Fülöp, F. G. Riddell, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 12887–12896.
- [11] [11a] A. Star, N. G. Lemcoff, I. Goldberg, B. Fuchs, *Tetrahedron Letters* 1997, 38, 3573-3576.
 [11b] A. Star, B. Fuchs, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 1166-1172.
 [11c] A. Star, I. Goldberg, B. Fuchs, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2001, 729-734.
- [12] [12a] K. Neuvonen, F. Fülöp, H. Neuvonen, A. Koch, E. Kleinpeter, K. Pihlaja, *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 4132–4140. [12b] K. Neuvonen, F. Fülöp, H. Neuvonen, A. Koch, E. Kleinpeter, K. Pihlaja, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 2151–2160.
- [13] [13a] Darabantu, G. Plé, I. Silaghi-Dumitrescu, C. Maieranu, I. Turos, I. A. Silberg, S. Mager, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 3785-3798. [13b] Darabantu, G. Plé, C. Maieranu, I. Silaghi-Dumitrescu, Y. Ramondenc, S. Mager, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 3799-3816.
- [14] C. Maieranu, M. Darabantu, G. Plé, C. Berghian, E. Condam-

- ine, Y. Ramondenc, I. Silaghi-Dumitrescu, S. Mager, *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2681–2693.
- [15] [15a] A. Star, I. Goldberg, B. Fuchs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2685–2689. [15b] A. Star, I. Goldberg, B. Fuchs, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 630, 67–77.
- [16] W. A. Bubb, Magn. Reson. Chem. 1997, 35, 191-198.
- [17] [17a] S. M. A. Hashmi, Sk. A. Ali, M. I. M. Wazeer, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 12959–12972. [17b] S. M. A. Hashmi, M. I. M. Wazeer, M. S. Hussain, J. H. Reibenspies, H. P. Perzanowski, Sk. A. Ali, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 2 **1999**, 877–883.
- [18] F. Fülöp, K. Pihlaja, J. Mattinen, G. Bernáth, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3821-3825.
- [19] F. Fülöp, K. Pihlaja, K. Neuvonen, G. Bernáth, G. Argay, A. Kálmán, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1967–1969.
- [20] V. V. Alekseyev, K. N. Zelenin, Khim. Geterosikl. Soedin. 1998, 1068-1071.
- [21] B. Zaleska, D. Ciez, H. Falk, Monatsh. Chem. 1996, 127, 1251–1257.
- [22] [22a] L. Lázár, A. Göblyös, F. Evanics, G. Bernáth, F. Fülöp, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 13639-13644. [22b] A. Göblyös, L. Lázár, F. Evanics, F. Fülöp, Heterocycles 1999, 51, 2431-2438.
- [23] K. Joutsiniemi, P. Vainiotalo, F. Fülöp, L. Lázár, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 1998, 12, 876-882.
- [24] A. Hetényi, T. A. Martinek, Z. Zalán, L. Lázár, F. Fülöp, J. Org. Chem., in press.
- [25] [25a] K. N. Zelenin, I. V. Ukraintsev, *Khim. Geterosikl. Soedin.* 1996, 1137. [25b] K. N. Zelenin, V. V. Alekseyev, I. V. Ukraintsev, I. V. Tselinsky, *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* 1998, 30, 53-61.
- [26] A. Göblyös, L. Lázár, F. Fülöp, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 1011-1016.
- [27] L. Lázár, A. Göblyös, T. A. Martinek, F. Fülöp, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4734–4741.
- [28] K. N. Zelenin, I. V. Ukraintsev, Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1998, 30, 109-114.
- [29] M. Pykäläinen, A. Vainiotalo, T. A. Pakkanen, P. Vainiotalo, J. Mass Spectrom. 1996, 31, 716-726.
- [30] [30a] R. B. Moodie, M. Z. Moustras, G. Read, J. P. B. Sandall, J. Chem. Res. (S) 1996, 134–135. [30b] R. B. Moodie, M. Z. Moustras, G. Read, J. P. B. Sandall, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1997, 169–171.
- [31] K. N. Zelenin, V. V. Alekseyev, I. V. Ukraintsev, I. V. Tselinsky, Mendeleev Commun. 1997, 111–112.
- [32] K. N. Zelenin, I. V. Lagoda, V. V. Alekseyev, J. Sinkkonen, R. A. Shaikhutdinov, K. Pihlaja, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2002, 39, 805-810.
- [33] K. N. Zelenin, A. A. Potapov, I. V. Lagoda, V. V. Alekseyev, J. Sinkkonen, K. Pihlaja, Khim. Geterosikl. Soedin. 2002, 1305-1306.
- [34] A. Y. Ershov, N. V. Koshmina, Khim. Geterosikl. Soedin. 2001, 1431–1432.
- [35] [35a] M. F. Simeonov, F. Fülöp, R. Sillanpää, K. Pihlaja, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5080-5088. [35b] K. Pihlaja, M. F. Simeonov, F. Fülöp, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5089-5095.
- [36] D. N. Kozhevnikov, V. N. Kozhevnikov, V. L. Rusinov, O. N. Chupakhin, E. O. Sidorov, N. A. Klyuev, *Zhur. Org. Khim.* 1998, 34, 423–428.
- [37] K. N. Zelenin, A. G. Saminskaya, O. B. Kuznetsova, Zhur. Obshch. Khim. 1996, 66, 141–146.
- [38] [38a] F. Fülöp, E. Forró, G. Bernáth, I. Miskolczi, A. Martinsen, P. Vainiotalo, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1997, 34, 289-293. [38b] P. Vainiotalo, E. Forró, F. Fülöp, Acta Chim. Hung. Models Chem. 1998, 135, 653-661.
- [39] Some recent examples: [39a] F. Fülöp, L. Simon, G. Simon-Talpas, G. Bernáth, Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 2303-2309. [39b]
 D. Brózda, Ł. Koroniak, M. D. Rozwadowska, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 3017-3025. [39c] J. Blanchet, M. Bonin, L. Micouin, H.-P. Husson, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6423-6426. [39d] C. Agami, F. Couty, G. Evano, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 297-302.

[40] V. I. Tararov, R. Kadyrov, T. H. Riermeier, A. Börner, Synthesis 2002, 375–380.

- [41] K. Higashiyama, H. Kyo, H. Takahashi, Synlett 1998, 489-490.
- [42] C. Agami, S. Comesse, C. Kadouri-Puchot, M. Lusinchi, Synlett 1999, 1094–1096.
- [43] A. Ishii, F. Miyamoto, K. Higashiyama, K. Mikami, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 1199–1202.
- [44] M. D. Andrews, A. G. Brewster, K. M. Crapnell, A. J. Ibbett, T. Jones, M. G. Moloney, K. Prout, D. Watkin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 223–235.
- [45] [45a] A. I. Meyers, S. V. Downing, M. J. Weiser, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1413–1419. [45b] M. D. Groaning, A. I. Meyers, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 9843–9873.
- [46] [46a] M. Amat, M. Cantó, N. Llor, C. Escolano, E. Molins, E. Espinosa, J. Bosch, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5343-5351.
 [46b] M. Amat, M. Cantó, N. Llor, V. Ponzo, M. Pérez, J. Bosch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 335-338.
- [47] C. Jentgens, R. Hofmann, A. Guggisberg, S. Bienz, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 966-978.
- [48] [48a] K. Singh, J. Singh, H. Singh, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 14273-14280. [48b] K. Singh, J. Singh, H. Singh, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 935-942.
- [49a] [49a] K. Singh, P. K. Deb, Heterocycles 1999, 51, 1509-1512.
 [49b] K. Singh, P. K. Deb, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4977-4980.
 [49c] K. Singh, P. K. Deb, P. Venugopalan, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 7939-7949.
- [50] K. Singh, P. K. Deb, S. Behal, Heterocycles 2001, 55, 1937–1942.

- [51] [51a] M. Mutter, A. Nefzi, T. Sato, X. Sun, F. Wahl, T. Wöhr, Peptide Res. 1995, 8, 145-153.
 [51b] T. Wöhr, F. Wahl, A. Nefzi, B. Rohwedder, T. Sato, X. Sun, M. Mutter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9218-9227.
 [51c] T. Rückle, P. de Lavallaz, M. Keller, P. Dumy, M. Mutter, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 11281-11288.
 [51d] A. Wittelsberger, M. Keller, L. Scarpellino, L. Patiny, H. Acha-Orbea, M. Mutter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1111-1115.
- [52] G. Radics, H. Schedel, E. Heistracher, J. Sieler, L. Hennig, K. Burger, *Heterocycles* 2002, 58, 213-225.
- [53] [53a] P. Botti, T. D. Pallin, J. P. Tam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10018-10024. [53b] J. P. Tam, Z. Miao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9013-9022.
- ^[54] L. Lázár, F. Fülöp, Acta Pharm. Hung. 1999, 69, 202-207.
- [55] [55a] D. J. Smith, M. Jalkanen, F. Fülöp, L. Lázár, Z. Szakonyi, G. Bernáth, *PCT Int. Appl.*, WO 0202090; *Chem. Abstr.* 2002, 136, 79760. [55b] D. J. Smith, M. Jalkanen, F. Fülöp, L. Lázár, Z. Szakonyi, G. Bernáth, *PCT Int. Appl.*, WO 0202541; *Chem. Abstr.* 2002, 136, 102405.
- [56] R. B. Walker, L. D. Fitz, L. M. Williams, Y. M. McDaniel, Gen. Pharmacol. 1996, 27, 109-111.
- [57] [57a] R. B. Walker, M.-J. Huang, J. Leszcynski, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 2001, 549, 137–146. [57b] J. Sélambarom, S. Monge, F. Carré, J. P. Roque, A. A. Pavia, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 9559–9566.
- [58] [58a] R. Bakhtiar, C. E. C. A. Hop, R. B. Walker, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 1997, 11, 598-602.
 [58b] R. B. Walker, V. N. Dholakia, K. L. Brasfield, R. Bakhtiar, Gen. Pharmac. 1998, 30, 725-731.

Received March 5, 2003